## Attachment G California Regional Water Quality Control Board Lahontan Region

## **Fall Implementation Monitoring Form**

Categories 5 and 6
This form and associated monitoring must be completed before the winter period (as defined in Attachment A) and submitted to the Water Board by January 15

Please type or print clearly in ink

**Fall implementation monitoring** is visual monitoring of timber harvest and vegetation management areas, roads, stream crossings, landings, etc., to ensure all management practices designed to prevent sediment delivery and protect water quality (such as erosion control measures, riparian buffers, water bars, critical dips) are in place and secure prior to the winter period (as defined in Attachment A).

Fall implementation monitoring and reporting is required every year for the duration of the activity and until a Notice of Termination of Timber Waiver Coverage is issued by Water Board staff. This complete form must be submitted in accordance with the requirements of this monitoring and reporting program.

1.	Activity	/Plan N	ame:							
2.	WDID N	umber:								
3.	Yes 1	XI()	Have timber harvest and vegetation management activities under this activity/plan					ty/plan		
	If <b>yes</b> , p			this form	and as	ssociate	d mon	itoring.		
	If <b>no</b> , wh	If <b>no</b> , when are operations anticipated to begin (if known)?								
	If operat	ions hav	ve not co	ommence	ed by N	lovembe	er 15,	you are	not required to complete the	<del>)</del>
remainder of this form, please sign the landowner signature box at the bottom of page 4, and						and				
submit to the Water Board by January 15.										
1	Inspect	or's no	ma and	titlo:						
4.	inspeci	OI S IIai	ne and	uue.						
	Data of	•	(							
	Date of	inspec	tion:							
ΔΙ	l of the f	followi	na site	es) mus	st be i	nspect	ed b	efore t	he Winter Period, <u>or</u>	
	dicated a		_	•		•				
	anoutou .	40 1.01	p. 000.			401111	., u. c	<u> </u>	1	
5.	<u>Waterco</u>	urse cro	<u>ssings</u>	:	I	Inspecte	ed:		None within area:	
	Yes	No					_		ciated fills and approache	
constructed or reconstructed to prevent diversion of stream over the road and to minimize fill erosion and delivery to a waterbody										
							delivery to a waterbody if t	the		
				ge struc						
	Yes	No							stalled? (A properly installed	
	V	NI-							n the downhill side of all cros	ssings.)
	Yes	No	is there	e any ris	SK OT St	ream d	iversi	on aurir	ng a high runoff event?	

	Yes	No	Is road runoff disconnected before it reaches the watercourse crossing? (For example, are waterbreaks (i.e., rolling dips or waterbars) placed on the approaches to the crossing so that runoff and sediment is filtered prior to potential discharge to a waterbody? It may be impossible to disconnect all of the road drainage from the watercourse. However, the length of road draining to the stream should be kept to a minimum.)	al
	Yes	No	Are all fill slopes adequately armored?	
	Yes	No	If road surfacing (e.g., rock aggregate) is to be used near or at the watercourse crossing, is it done to the specification listed in the approved plan? (At the minimum, rocking should be done at the size, depth, and extent listed in the approved plan.)	
	Yes	No	Are culverts clear of debris?	
	Yes	No	Have all constructed or reconstructed watercourse crossings been installe and armored to prevent sediment discharge? (e.g., by channel erosion, inlet scour, and road fill erosion)	
	Yes	No	Do all Class I watercourse crossings allow for fish passage?	
	Yes	No	Have all temporary (seasonal) watercourse crossings been removed? (All temporary fill should be excavated to form a channel that is as close as feasible the natural watercourse grade and orientation, and that is wider than the natural channel.)	to
6.	Water di	versior	ns and watering holes: Inspected: None within area:	
	Addition	nal note	s on water diversions and watering holes within the activity area:	
7.	All road	ds:	Inspected: None within area:	
	Yes		Are all roads (permanent, seasonal, or temporary road segments used for timber operations within the activity area, and roads appurtenant to operations where suc roads are under the ownership or control of the landowner or manager) adequatel stabilized to prevent sediment delivery to a waterbody?	ch
	Addition	nal note	s on road segments within the activity area:	

8.	All exis	sting an	d constructed landings:	Inspected:		None within area:	
	Yes	No	Are all landings adequate sediment delivery to a wa		ıs defined i	n Attachment A) to pre	event
	Addition	onal note	es on existing and constructe	∍d landings with	in the activ	rity area:	
9. ,	All exis	sting an	d constructed skid trails:	Inspected:		None within area:	
	Yes	No	Are all skid trails adequate waterbody? (e.g., are all w	•	•		а
	Addition	onal note	es on existing and constructe	ed skid trails with	hin the acti	vity area:	
10.	lands	slides n	known or suspected ear roads, streams, or ed equipment operations:	Inspected:		None within area:	
	Addi	itional no	otes landslides within the act	ivity area:			
11.	<u>All ur</u>	nstable a	areas:	Inspected:		None within area:	
	Yes	s No	Were unstable areas a management activities timber harvest and vege areas.)	s? (Unless allo	wed in the	approved plan, make s	
	Yes		o If timber harvest and within unstable areas, approved plan been in	, have all site-s nplemented?	pecific mit		
	Addi	itional no	otes on unstable areas withir	the activity are	a:		

12.	Recommendations made by Water Inspected: None within area:								
	Board staff during the pre-harvest								
	inspection: (if not covered elsewhere in								
	this report)								
	Yes No Were all written recommendations made by Water Board staff in the pre- harvest inspection report implemented?								
	Additional notes on recommendations made by Water Board staff:								
12	Van Na Herre ell monograment proctices (a granical buffers exercise control monograms								
13.	Yes No <b>Have all management practices</b> (e.g., riparian buffers, erosion control measures,								
	water bars, critical dips) been implemented to prevent sediment delivery to surface waters?								
	If not, please explain what corrective measures will be taken to maintain compliance with the								
	Timber Waiver and to prevent impacts to water quality:								
	(attach additional pages if necessary)								
14.	Yes No Did you observe any signs of sediment delivery or potential sediment delivery								
ſ	(e.g., failed stream banks, rills, gullies, unstabilized spoils) within the activity area?  If so, please describe the sediment sources, and what corrective measures will be taken to maintain								
compliance with the Timber Waiver and to prevent impacts to water quality:									
	The state of the s								
_	(attach additional pages if necessary)								
15.	Pile placement and burning within WBBZs and/or SEZs  None within area								
	A) Pre-Burn Information:								
	i. Date(s) piles created:								
	ii. Type and relative abundance of vegetation observed in WBBZ/SEZ prior to burning is:								
	31								
	B) Date(s) piles burned If piles have been burned, complete C) below.								
	C) Were all burn scars raked to 85% coverage with native duff or organic mulch and seed post-								
	burning? (Y/N):								
	If Yes, provide date(s) raked:, if No, complete D) below.								
	D) If C) is No, then state date(s) of burn scar inspection:, and complete E), F), and								
	G) during the second growing season following the burn.								
	z, samig me eeeema gremmig eeaeem tenerining me aanni								
	E) Report on the status of vegetative recovery throughout the burn scars in terms of type and								

relative abundance of vegetation, compared to adjacent unburned areas. If using a representative sample rather than assessing all burn scars, provide additional details on the

	number of scars assessed and how the sample size was determined (attach more pages if necessary):
	<ul> <li>Type and relative abundance of vegetation observed in project adjacent to burn scars after burning is:</li> </ul>
	ii. Type and relative abundance of vegetative recovery in burn scars after burning is:
	iii. The approximate % of burns scars within the representative sample without vegetative recovery after the second growing season is:
	iv. Date(s) all burns scars not in a state of vegetative recovery after two growing seasons were raked to 85% coverage with either native duff or organic mulch and seed:
	v. Additional Information (if needed):
F)	Are invasive species present in any burn scars? ( Y / N ) If F) is Yes, attach a corrective action plan and schedule for implementation of the corrective action plan.
G)	Is there evidence of ash, charred material, or sediment movement off of any burn scars? (Y/N)
	If G) is Yes, describe where material moved, potential for delivery to surface water, and attach a corrective action plan and an implementation schedule for the plan if necessary.

(attach additional pages if necessary)

information contained in this monitoring recomplete. I also certify that all timber harve been in conformance with all the general of Requirements for Discharges Resulting from the Lahontan Region (Timber Waiver), Resconditions for Category 5 or 6 of the Timber	Manager, hereby certify under penalty of perjury that all sport is true, accurately represents site conditions, and vest and vegetation management activities conducted have conditions of the Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge om Timber Harvest and Vegetation Management Activities in esolution R6T-2014-00XX, and all eligibility criteria and er Waiver. If any deviation from the approved plan, and/or the tions has been identified I have disclosed such deviations in the will be taken to resolve the problem.
Signature:	Date:
Printed Name and Title:	
Phone/Fax/E-mail:	

**Invitation for feedback**: Water Board staff respectfully request any constructive feedback regarding the monitoring program with regard to your timber harvest and vegetation management activities. Completing this section is not a requirement. Water Board staff may use your comments and suggestions to improve this program for future activities. Comments may include:

- perceived effectiveness of the program in protecting water quality
- recommendations on how to make the monitoring program more efficient, reliable, or effective
- impressions of recommendations made by Water Board staff regarding your activities (e.g., do they appear to be effective, is there a practice or a performance standard that would have been more cost-effective at protecting water quality?)